## ETHICS QUESTIONS PROFESSOR STEPHEN A. SALTZBURG

## **Answer Yes or No to Each of the Questions**

## **Twenty General Questions**

1.	You represent a company negotiating to sell its assets to another company. Your client has told you prior to your negotiating with the other side that it will take no less than \$1 million dollars. Opposing counsel has opened negotiations with an offer of \$750,000. May you say to her, "my client has authorized me to take no less than \$1,250,000" as a bargaining strategy?  Yes
2.	You represent a company negotiating to sell its assets to another company. Your client has told you prior to your negotiating with the other side that it will take no less than \$1million dollars. Opposing counsel has opened negotiations with an offer of \$750,000. May you say to her, "my client has an offer in hand of \$1,250,000" if you are merely bluffing?  Yes
3.	You represent a company negotiating to sell its assets to another company. Your client wants you present at the negotiations as an advisor but wants to handle the negotiation himself. The opposing party has opened negotiations with an offer of \$750.000. Your client asks for time to consult with you privately and asks you, "May I say to her,'I have an offer in hand of \$1,250,000' which is not true?" May you say yes?  \[ \textstyle{\textstyle{1}}\textstyle{\textstyle{2}}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}\textstyle{2}
4.	You represent a company negotiating to sell its assets to another company. Your client is frustrated by the failure of the other side's counsel to show interest in the negotiations and asks you to call the other side's lawyer to complain and to ask for faster action. May you call and complain?  \[ \textstyle{\textstyle{\textstyle{1}}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{\textstyle{1}{

• There may be no single correct answer to some of the questions. Some answers may depend on facts not provided in these short questions. The purpose of the questions that have no right answer is to point out possible pitfalls for the unwary. The purpose of all the questions is to remind us all of some important principles that we must keep in mind at all times. The answer to some questions may turn on procedure or evidence rules that impose obligations or limitations upon lawyers.

5.	You represent a company negotiating to sell its assets to another company. Your client calls you and says "I am frustrated by the failure of the other side's counsel to show interest in the negotiations and I ask you to call the other side's lawyer to complain and to ask for faster action." May you call and say to opposing counsel'my client is frustrated with the pace of the negotiations and wants to see them pick up speed"?  \[ \textstyle{\textstyle{\textstyle{1}}}\] \[ \textstyle{\textstyle{1}}\] \[ \textstyle{\textstyle{1}}\] \[ \textstyle{1}\] \[ \textst
6.	You represent a company negotiating to sell its assets to another company. You believe that opposing counsel is not promptly conveying your client's offers to opposing counsel's client. You see that your client is frustrated by the failure of the other side's counsel to act promptly. May you call the opposing party directly and convey your client's offer?  \[ \textstyle{\textstyle{\textstyle{1}}}\textstyle{\textstyle{1}}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle{1}\textstyle
7.	You represent a company negotiating to sell its assets to another company. You believe that opposing counsel is not promptly conveying your client's offers to opposing counsel's client. You see that your client is frustrated by the failure of the other side's counsel to act promptly. May you write a letter to opposing counsel with your client's offer and cc: opposing counsel's client?  \[ \sum Yes  \sum No \]
8.	You represent a company negotiating to sell its assets to another company. You believe that opposing counsel is not promptly conveying your client's offers to opposing counsel's client. Your belief is confirmed when you receive a call from the opposing party who says to you, "I'm calling because I don't think my lawyer is giving me your offers. What was your last offer?" May you answer the question?  \[ \sum Yes  \sum No \]
9.	You represent a company negotiating to sell its assets to another company. Negotiations have been difficult. An individual whom you represent in another matter reveals to you in confidence that the lawyer representing the other company had previously represented him in another matter, unrelated to your representation of him or the company that is negotiating to sell its assets, and that the lawyer had sexual relations with him on several occasions during the representation and that the individual felt pressured to have sex with the lawyer. May you call or write the opposing counsel and tell him that unless the negotiations to sell the assets are completed in two weeks, you are going to inform the state bar of his sexual activity with a client?

10.	You represent a company negotiating to sell its assets to another company. Negotiations have been difficult. An individual whom you represent in another matter reveals to you in confidence that the lawyer representing the other company had previously represented him in another matter, unrelated to your representation of him or the company that is negotiating to sell its assets, and that the lawyer had sexual relations with him on several occasions during the representation and that the individual felt pressured to have sex with the lawyer. Your client says to you, "now don't say anything about this to anybody." May you accede to your client's wishes?  No	
11.	You represent a company negotiating to sell its assets to another company. Negotiations have been difficult. An individual whom you represent in another matter reveals to you in confidence that the lawyer representing the other company had previously represented him and his wife in another matter unrelated to your representation of him or the company that is negotiating to sell its assets, and that the lawyer had sexual relations with his wife on several occasions during the representation and the end result was a divorce. Your client says to you, "now don't say anything about this to anybody." May you accede to your client's wishes?  \[ \sum Yes  \sum No \]	
12.	You as outside counsel are asked by the Board of Directors to do an internal investigation for a company that suspects that overseas subsidiaries may have made payments that violate the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. The President of the Company asks you to prepare a letter for employees directing them to cooperate with your investigation, and your letter in substance reads as follows: "Outside counsel has been retained to investigate whether any member of the company has made payments to foreign officials that violate US law. Your cooperation is essential so that counsel can protect us as much as possible from the consequences of any improper payment(s)." When you arrive to interview employees, you bring them a copy of the letter and assure yourself that each has read and understood the letter. You then interview the employees. Have you acted with due diligence?  \[ \sum \text{Yes}  \text{\text{DNO}} \]	
13.	You as outside are asked by the Board of Directors to do an internal investigation for company that suspects that overseas subsidiaries may have made payments that violate the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. The President of the Company asks you to prepare a letter for employees directing them to cooperate with your investigation, and your letter is substance reads as follows: "Outside counsel has been retained to investigate whether an member of the company has made payments to foreign officials that violate US law. You cooperation is essential so that counsel can protect us as much as possible from the consequences of any improper payment (s)." When you arrive to interview employees, you bring them a copy of the letter and assure yourself that each has read and understood the letter. You then interview the employees. Several employees ask you straight out: "Should hire my own lawyer?" Can you answer the question?  \[ \sum{Yes}  \sum{No} \]	

14.	A state court judge has appointed you to represent a husband accused of spousal abuse. You have never represented a criminal defendant and you personally detest people who abuse their spouses. May you refuse the appointment?  \[ \Boxed{\text{Yes}}\] \[ \Boxed{\text{No}}\]
15.	A new client has asked you to represent him in a relatively simply uncontested divorce. You agree and inform him of your standard hourly rate and the additional charges for which he may be responsible. May you proceed with the representation without providing the client with a retention letter? $ \square Yes                                  $
16.	A prospective client has come to see you and explained that she has been threatened with a lawsuit claiming breach of an oral contract. She denies having promised to sell a Picasso to the prospective plaintiff and wants to sell it to another purchaser for much more than the prospective plaintiff claims she promised to take. You tell the prospective client that the statute of limitations for breach of an oral contract is one year, and from what she has told you about the prospective plaintiff's claim it will expire in a month and if no suit has been filed she is free to sell the painting to anyone she wants. You also decline to represent the prospective client because the case doesn't seem like it would generate sufficient fees. Two weeks later you realize that the statute of limitations is two years, not one. Must you notify the prospective client even though you declined the representation?
17.	A prospective client has come to see you and explained that she has been threatened with a lawsuit claiming breach of an oral contract. She denies having promised to sell a Picasso to the prospective plaintiff and wants to sell it to another purchaser for much more than the prospective plaintiff claims she promised to take. You tell the prospective client that you steer clear of art cases and you decline to represent her, but based on what she told you there clearly was no oral contract that binds her. She sells the painting to another purchaser. Has a lawyer-client relationship been created?  \[ \sum \text{Yes}  \sum \text{No} \]
18.	A prospective client has come to see you and explained that she has been threatened with a lawsuit claiming breach of an oral contract. Although you never take art cases, you politely hear the prospective client relate the facts and hear her deny having promised to sell a Picasso to the prospective plaintiff and wanting to sell it to another purchaser for much more than the prospective plaintiff claims she promised to take. You then tell the prospective client that you steer clear of art cases and you decline to represent her. Having declined the representation, do you have any duty to the client you turned down?  \[ \sum \text{Yes}  \sum \text{No} \]

19.	lawsuit claiming breach of an oral hear the prospective client related Picasso to the prospective plaintiff than the prospective plaintiff classified client that you steer clear of article prospective plaintiff contacts a pagainst prospective client to endurate client. Having turned down the took no other action with respect to you to anyone in your firm. You there a problem here?	see you and explained that she has been threatened with a contract. Although you never take art cases, you politely the facts and hear her deny having promised to sell a fand wanting to sell it to another purchaser for much more times she promised to take. You then tell the prospective cases and you decline to represent her. A week later the artner in your firm and asks her to represent him in a suit force an oral contact to buy a Picasso from prospective representation of prospective client, you opened no file, to her, and did not disclose any information she disclosed your partner agrees to represent prospective plaintiff. Is
20.	persons who otherwise might be call to provide legal advice to a cas a result of the City's putting caller's apartment. Unbeknowns actions raising exactly the same	ist a legal services "hotline" in providing legal advice to unable to obtain such advice. One night you answer a aller who inquires about how to sue the City for nuisance in a 24-hour police walk-in station too close to the to you, your firm represents the City in three pending nuisance claim. Have you breached a duty?  No  nse and Common Interest
	00220 2 020	
21.	with the FCC regarding compliant three stations has separate countexpects to engage in litigation defense" or "common interest" assure that all four stations can shor work product protection?	stations in North and South Carolina that are negotiating ace with its public service requirements. Each of the other sel. No litigation is pending and none of the stations with the agency. May your client enter into a "joint agreement with the three other stations in an effort to hare information without waiving attorney-client privilege No
22.	with the other three stations; do stations?	er into a "joint defense" or "common interest" agreement you have a lawyer-client relationship with the other three
23.	with the other three stations; do stations?	er into a "joint defense" or "common interest" agreement you have a lawyer-client relationship with the other three

24.	Should any such agreement ☐Yes	be in writing?
25.	with the other three station provided by another station	s enter into a "joint defense" or "common interest" agreement as, may you disclose to the FCC confidential information on if that information advances your client's position in and you do not seek the other station's consent?
26.	with the other three stations,	s enter into a "joint defense" or "common interest" agreement and one of the other stations decides they like your firm's work counsel to hire your firm. Your client has no objection. May ation?
27.	with the other three stations work and wants to drop its of	s enter into a "joint defense" or "common interest" agreement is and one of the other stations decides they like your firm's current counsel to hire your firm. Your client objects on the note that the other station to benefit from your work since it is a tenth new representation?
28.	with the other three stations, that the four stations have ta Counsel for the four stations none of the other counsel agr from the "joint defense" or "claim that, having received co	senter into a "joint defense" or "common interest" agreement and after a period of time your client decides that the approach ken is not working and that a new approach should be taken. meet, you explain the bare outlines of the new approach, and ees with the strategy. You tell them your client is withdrawing common interest" agreement to pursue its own strategy. They onfidential information from them, you may no longer represent tegy that they regard as antithetical to their interests. Are they
29.	with the FCC regarding comp three stations has separate c there might be an administr presented. Your client enter	dio stations in North and South Carolina that are negotiating pliance with its public service requirements. Each of the other ounsel. No litigation is pending, but all counsel agrees that rative proceeding in which testimony and evidence will be into a "joint defense" or "common interest" agreement with a effort to assure that all four stations can share information

24.

	without waiving attorney-client privilege or work product protection, but along the be way your client becomes dissatisfied with the joint approach, withdraws from the agreement, and adopts its own strategy. At an administrative hearing, witnesses for the other three stations are called to testify and you want to cross-examine them. The other stations object and move to disqualify you for a conflict of interest. Will they prevail?  \[ \sum \text{Yes}  \sum \text{No} \]
30.	Given questions 21-29, do you believe that you ought to give more careful thought than ever before to whether to advise a client to enter into a "joint defense" or "common interest" agreement?  \[ \sum Yes  \sum No \]

## **False Statements**

31.	American. You have a parale	o alleges he was denied an apartment because he is Africangal who is African-American and ask him to go to the leasing the apartment. Have you violated any ethical rules?
32.	American. You have a parale paralegal to contact the leasi	o alleges he was denied an apartment because he is Africangal who is a former investigative reporter. May you ask your ng agency, pretend to be a representative of a public opinion tattitudes toward leasing property to minorities?
33.	Afghanistan. The company submission to the governmen made against it in its entire e	ho is bidding on certain government contracts for Iraq and has sought your help in preparing an attractive bid and its states that "company has had no allegations of illegal activity existence." You discover after the bid was submitted that the ere to foreign corrupt payments two year earlier. Must you $\square No$
34.	Afghanistan. The company of role in preparing, that "compinitis entire existence." You immediately realized that you	tho is bidding on certain government contracts for Iraq and stated in its submission to the government, which you had no any has had no allegations of illegal activity made against it saw the submission after it was given to the government and but knew that the company pled nolo contendere to foreign arlier because you negotiated the plea. Must you disclose the
35.	in his termination. During the plaintiff lawyer's question discrimination. You discove with the EEOC of age disc	d by a former in-house counsel who claims age discrimination be deposition of the President/CEO, she swears in response to this that the company has never before been charged with age in that three years earlier, two former employees filed charges rimination and the company settled their claims before the st you disclose this to the plaintiff's counsel?

36.	You represent a company sued by a former in-house counsel who claims age discrimination in his termination. During the deposition of the President/CEO, she swears in response to the plaintiff lawyer's questions that the company has never before been charged with age discrimination. You discover that three years earlier, two former employees filed charges with the EEOC of age discrimination and the company settled their claims before the EEOC took any action. Your company and the plaintiff have agreed to voluntary mediation before a retired federal judge who now works for JAMS. The retired judge asks to see the depositions of the parties, along with the complaint and answer. Must you disclose to the retired judge that the President/CEO's testimony was false?
37.	You represent a company sued by a former in-house counsel who claims age discrimination in his termination. During the deposition of the President/CEO, she swears in response to the plaintiff lawyer's questions that the company has never before been charged with age discrimination. You discover that three years earlier, two former employees filed charges with the EEOC of age discrimination and the company settled their claims before the EEOC took any action. The trial judge has ordered the parties to appear in chambers for a settlement conference and has asked to see the depositions of the parties prior to the conference. Must you disclose to the judge that the President/CEO's testimony was false?  \[ \sum{No}\] \[ \sum{No}\]
38.	You represent a company charged by a former employee with sexual harassment. The former employee claims that a supervisor said he would not promote her unless she went to bed with him. You call your client to testify and the plaintiff's lawyer asks him whether he ever forged a check. You object to the question, but the trial judge overrules the objection and says the question is permissible under Fed. R. Evid. 608(b). Your client says "absolutely not." You know from your client that he pled guilty to the misdemeanor charge of forging a check in a juvenile proceeding 15 years earlier. You have the judgment showing the finding of delinquency. Must you disclose that your client's answer was false?  \[ \sum{No}\] \[ \sum{No}\]
39.	You are appearing for the defendant at a settlement conference before a federal magistrate judge who has required representatives of both sides to appear with "settlement authority." Your client has authorized you to take \$15,000 to settle the case but has told you not to reveal that to the judge or the plaintiff. The judge excuses the other side and asks you, "what is your authority to settle?" May you answer the question?  \[ \begin{align*} \text{INO} \end{align*}

40.	by the parents of a child with administered a drug manufact only active ingredient in Curthe doctor, his clinic, the hos has filed a cross-claim again doctor file requests for docurthere are and, if so, to obtain (a) any letters relating to Curany federal or state agency rewritten in-house or containe evaluations relating to CureAchildren. You have a meet in counsel. They both instruct y parents and the doctor and discovery requests. The vice company and there are only a are documents that mention drug and the company does recommends providing only	eAll sent to doctors, (b) any correspondence with the FDA or lating to CureAll, (c) any articles relating to CureAll whether d in outside publications, and (d) any in-house or external ll's advantages and disadvantages if administered to asthmatic g with a senior vice-president of the company and in-house ou that they do not wish to voluntarily provide anything to the they want to take the narrowest possible approach to the e-president tells you that he has examined the records of the couple of documents that specifically mention CureAll. There knownByAll, but the discovery does not mention the generic not want to volunteer these documents. In-house counsel the documents that specifically mention CureAll and leaving in the task of seeking additional discovery if they want it. May
41.	the drug company account	nior partner. You go to a senior partner who is responsible for and relate the facts and ask whether you may accept the partner says "it's your call." Is the senior partner absolved of a you make?
42.	for the drug company account	unior partner. You go to a senior partner who is responsible nt and relate the facts and ask whether you may accept the r partner says "do what they want." Are you absolved of a?