1 2 3 4	CRIMINAL DEFENSE ATTORNEY Attorney at Law 123 E. Anywhere St. Any City, California Telephone: (123) 456-7890 Facsimile: (123) 456-7890 Email: anyperson@yahoo.com
5	Attorney for Defendant Defendant
6	Defendant
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) NO. CR 00-000-XYZ
10) Plaintiff,) EX PARTE APPLICATION
11) REQUESTING RECONSIDERATION V.) OF COURT'S DENIAL OF REQUEST
12) FOR CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACT Defendant, ("CJA") FUNDS; MEMORANDUM OF
13) POINTS AND AUTHORITIES; Defendant.) DECLARATION OF COUNSEL.
14) Filed under seal and in
15	camera
16	Defendant by and through her counsel, Criminal Defense
17	Attorney, hereby requests that this Court reconsider the denial
18	of request for authorization to obtain Criminal Justice Act funds
19	to permit the Defendant to retain a forensic psychologist.
20	This request is made pursuant to the Criminal Justice Act,
21	18 U.S.C. Section 3006A(e)(1) and is based upon the
22	attached Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the attached
23	declaration of Criminal Defense Attorney and all files and
24	records in this matter.
25	Respectfully submitted,
26	CRIMINAL DEFENSE ATTORNEY
27	Attorney for Defendant
28	DATED:, 2007

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Counsel for a person who is financially unable to obtain investigative, expert or other services necessary for adequate representation may request them in an ex parte application. "Upon finding, after appropriate inquiry in an ex parte proceeding, that the services are necessary and that the person is financially unable to obtain them, the court...shall authorize counsel to obtain the services. Title 18 U.S.C. §3006(e)(1).

CJA funds to assist an indigent defendant in preparing for sentencing are warranted in the appropriate cases and a court can order that such funds be provided to assist an indigent defendant in preparing for such sentencing.

Before authorizing the expenditure of such funds, however, a court should first "satisfy itself that a defendant may have a plausible defense." <u>United States v. Alden</u>, 767 F.2d 314, 318 (7th Cir. 1984) "The decision to grant or deny a motion under section 3006A(e) is one committed to the discretion of the district court, and a district court's decision will be disturbed on appeal only if it constitutes an abuse of discretion." <u>Id</u>. at 319.

The test for whether expert services should be provided is "whether a reasonable attorney would engage such services for a client having the independent financial means to pay for them."

<u>United States v. Alden</u>, 767 F.2d 314, 318 (7th Cir. 1984).

In this case, the Defendant has requested funds to have a forensic psychologist evaluate her family situation and to consider the impact of the incarceration on her family. Because this is a factor which this Court may consider in varying from

the applicable advisory guideline range, funds for such forensic psychologist should be authorized.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

If Defendant had the funds to retain such psychologist, counsel for the Defendant would have retained such counsel to assist in presenting evidence to this Court regarding this issue.

While the Court is correct in its order denying the initial request for funds that counsel can obtain letters from family members and close friends and the childrens' father and provide this testimony to this Court, such is only part of the evidence that needs to be presented. After obtaining such letters counsel needs to make them available to the psychologist for his review. After reviewing such letter, performing an evaluation of the Defendant and personally interviewing the various family members and friends, the psychologist can then submit an expert opinion with respect to the impact of the Defendant's incarceration on the children. Most of the children are too young to be able to articulate their feelings of possible abandonment due to the incarceration of their mother. Likewise they are unable to express their feelings of confusion and possible anxiety as a result of their mother's absence and the lack of parental care, attention and love. Because their father must work to support them and there is no one else available to care for the children, the father has resorted to taking the children with him to work. While counsel can provide these facts to this Court, it is the psychologist who can express a professional opinion regarding this issue and he can only do this after meeting with the family members and observing the children for a period of time.

The two older children have run away from the home since

their mother is in custody. There is tremendous tension between them and their mother's husband, the father of the four young children. Certainly these two older children are suffering since there is no one available to provide the love, care and attention that they deserve. They have no immediate family members who are available to take them in. As with the younger children, while counsel can provide the facts, she is not qualified to provide a professional opinion to this Court.

While defense counsel can present these and other facts to this Court, what is still lacking is the professional opinion of an expert with respect to the impact of the incarceration. The opinion would be based on the facts that I would gather for such expert.

If this case is appealed to the Ninth Circuit by either side in this case, the issue would be the reasonableness of the sentence. <u>United States v. Cantrell</u>, 433 F.3d 1269, 1279 (9th Cir. 2006); see also <u>United States v. Plouffe</u>, 436 F.3d 1062, 1063 (9th Cir. 2006), as amended, 445 F.3d 1126 (establishing jurisdiction to review sentences within the advisory guide-lines).

The Ninth Circuit has previously explained that district courts must provide specific reasons for their sentencing decisions, such that the record on appeal demonstrates explicit or implicit consideration of the sentencing factors set forth pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3553(c); <u>United States v. Miqbel</u>, 444 F.3d 1173, 1181-82 (9th Cir. 2006); <u>United States v. Menyweather</u>, 431 F.3d 692, 701 (9th Cir. 2005), as amended, 447 F.3d 625; see also United States v. Working, 224 F.3d 1093, 1102 (9th Cir.

2000) (en banc). For this Court to make the required record for a consideration of the reasonableness of the sentence, it would be helpful to have the assistance of a forensic psychologist in this case.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

In the Menyweather case, cited above, the defendant retained a forensic psychologist to testify to various sentencing issues. In that case, the defendant was indicted on 10 counts of theft of government funds, mail fraud, and wire fraud. She pleaded guilty to one count of mail fraud and admitted to having used government credit cards for unauthorized personal purchases of between \$ 350,000 and \$ 500,000. At sentencing, Defendant requested, and the government opposed, a six-level downward departure because of Defendant's family circumstances and mental and emotional condition. In support of her request, Defendant produced the evaluation of Dr. Barbara Cort Counter, a forensic psychologist who characterized Defendant as suffering from "severe symptoms of posttraumatic stress". Dr. Counter had evaluated Defendant for three-and-one-half hours, administered and reviewed a psychological test, spoken with Defendant's counsel, and reviewed letters submitted by Defendant's family members.

Defendant also argued for a departure because of the unusually important role that she played in the life of her daughter, who was 11 years old at the time of the first sentencing hearing in 2001.

After hearing argument, the district court departed downward by eight levels, resulting in a sentencing range of zero to 6 months. The court sentenced Defendant to five years of

probation under various restrictive terms and conditions.

The government appealed, and the Ninth Circuit vacated the sentence and remanded for resentencing because the district court had given no reasons for the direction and the degree of the departure.

After the first remand and after Dr. Counter was crossexamined, the court reaffirmed its previous sentence. In support of the sentence, the court recited and adopted specific findings of fact and conclusions of law.

On appeal for the second time, the Ninth Circuit again vacated the district court's sentence and remanded, holding that the court (1) erred in relying on post-conviction rehabilitation without giving notice to the government, and (2) failed to explain the extent of the departure, as distinct from the bases for departure. On remand, the district court again reaffirmed its sentence. In support of the sentence, the court adopted expanded findings of facts and conclusions of law that included citations to cases in which downward departures of comparable degree had been affirmed.

The government appealed the sentence again for the third time. On appeal, the Ninth Circuit found that the sentencing record of the district court provided "sufficiently specific language to allow appellate review." The Ninth Circuit affirmed the district court's sentence. <u>United States v. Menyweather</u>, 447 F.3d 625, 635 (9th Cir. 2006).

In this case, thus, it is necessary to permit the allocation of funds for the defense to retain a forensic psychologist to make the appropriate sentencing arguments at time

1	of sentencing, so that the Defendant is afforded due process of
2	law and equal protection under the law, and so that this Court
3	may have the requisite evidence to make the findings of fact and
4	reach the conclusions of law which are necessary to enable the
5	appellate court to make a determination regarding the
6	reasonableness of the sentencing.
7	
8	Respectfully Submitted,
9	
10	CRIMINAL DEFENSE ATTORNEY
11	Attorney for Defendant
12	Dated: , 2007
13	, 2001
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

<u>DECLARATION OF CRIMINAL DEFENSE ATTORNEY</u>

- I, CRIMINAL DEFENSE ATTORNEY, declare and state as follows:
- 1. I am a member of the federal indigent panel. In such capacity, I have been appointed to represent the Defendant in this case.
- 2. The Defendant is charged with Illegal Alien Found in the United States Following Deportation in violation of Title 8 U.S.C. §1326(a), (b)(2). The Defendant pleaded guilty to that charge. The sentencing is scheduled for , 2007.
- 3. The plea agreement in this case contemplates that the base offense level is eight (8) which will be enhanced by sixteen (16) levels due to an aggravated felony; this total is then reduced by three (3) levels for acceptance of responsibility and four (4) levels for "fast-track" early disposition. In addition, the plea agreement provides that the Defendant reserves the right to argue at sentencing that her family circumstances are such that a downward departure and/or variance from the applicable sentencing range is warranted.
- 4. The Defendant has six children. All six of these children are having tremendous difficulties due to the absence of their mother who was the primary caretaker. With respect to the two older daughters, the Defendant is the only family they have to care for them. I have been advised that both of them have run away from home and are not attending school regularly. It is my opinion that the impact of the incarceration of their mother on these children is something which this Court should consider in sentencing the Defendant .
 - 5. To that end, I previously requested the amount of

\$5,250.00 so that I could retain a forensic psychologist to meet with my client, her family and some family friends and prepare a report regarding his findings regarding the impact of incarceration on the children.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 6. Before submitting this request, I contacted various forensic psychologists to obtain the best price for the needed evaluation. The psychologist that I selected provided me with the best hourly price. In addition, I am familiar with his work since I have retained him previously.
- This Court denied my request on , 2007. Court indicated there was an inadequate showing that examination, evaluation, and testimony of a psychologist was needed or even relevant. Further, this Court indicated that facts from percipient witnesses regarding the relationship between Defendant and her children would appear to be more relevant and helpful to sentencing issues than a psychologist's opinion. This Court specifically stated, "Percipient witnesses who have firsthand knowledge of the defendant's history and relationship with her children may have probative, helpful information for the sentencing court. These percipient witnesses could include the Defendant, the childrens' father, and other family members and close friends." Finally, this Court stated that the filing did not show that "a psychologist's testimony would be so uniquely useful as to justify the expenditure of CJA funds." This Court stated, however, that the Defendant could re-submit this request and if she chose to do so, the application should provide more evidence to support the total amount sought such as evidence as to how many hours the psychologist anticipated would be needed to

complete an examination and evaluation that would be relevant to sentencing issues.

- 8. This application for reconsideration is submitted to attempt to provide additional information and case law to justify the request for CJA funds to retain a forensic psychologist.
- 9. I have spoke to Dr. Edgar Villamarin whom I wish to retain in this case. Dr. Villamarin advised me that given the facts as I had advised him, he believed it would take approximately 30 hours to interview the defendant, meet with the various family members and friends of the family, observe the children in their interaction with their father and the siblings, review various letters which I might obtain, and prepare a report for this Court with respect to his findings. He advised me, however, that if the amount of hours was a problem for this Court, he could limit the time he spent with the various individuals and rely in larger part on documentary evidence which I can obtain for him. In addition, if I was able to limit the waiting time with respect to meeting with my client at the jail and meeting with the family, he believed that he could do the required evaluation in 20 hours for a total of \$3,500.00.
- 10. I am prepared to assist Dr. Villamarin so that he can accomplish his evaluation in the 20 hours.
- 11. Based on the foregoing and the attached memorandum of points and authorities, I am requesting an allocation of Three Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$3,500.00) of Criminal Justice Act funds to retain Dr. Villamarin at the hourly rate of \$175.00 for a total of 20 hours.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. Executed this day at Any City, California. CRIMINAL DEFENSE ATTORNEY Attorney at Law Dated: November 4, 2007

1 2	CRIMINAL DEFENSE ATTORNEY Attorney at Law 123 E. Anywhere St.
3	Any City, California
4	Telephone: (123) 456-7890 Facsimile: (123) 456-7890 Email: anyperson@yahoo.com
5	Attorney for Defendant Defendant #1
6	Defendant #1
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) NO. CR 00-000-XYZ
10)
11	Plaintiff,) EX PARTE APPLICATION) REQUESTING CRIMINAL JUSTICE
12	v.) ACT FUNDS; DECLARATION OF) COUNSEL.
13	DEFENDANT #1,) Filed under seal and in
14	Defendant.) camera)
15)
16	Defendant #1, by and through her counsel, CRIMINAL DEFENSE
17	ATTORNEY, hereby requests authorization to obtain five thousand
18	two hundred fifty dollars (\$5,250.00) of Criminal Justice Act
19	funds to permit the defendant to retain a forensic psychologist.
20	This request is made pursuant to the Criminal Justice Act,
21	18 U.S.C. Section 3006A(e)(1) and is based upon the
22	attached declaration of Criminal Defense Attorney and all files
23	and records in this matter.
24	Respectfully submitted,
25	CDIMINAL DEPENDE A MICONITY
26	CRIMINAL DEFENSE ATTORNEY Attorney for Defendant
27	
28	DATED:, 2007

<u>DECLARATION OF CRIMINAL DEFENSE ATTORNEY</u>

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- I, CRIMINAL DEFENSE ATTORNEY declare and state as follows:
- 1. I am a member of the federal indigent panel. In such capacity, I have been appointed to represent Leticia Esparza-Gonzalez in this case.
- Defendant is charged with Illegal Alien Found in the United States Following Deportation in violation of Title 8 U.S.C. §1326(a), (b)(2). The Defendant has entered into a plea agreement with the government wherein she has agreed that she will plead quilty to the charge in the Information. agreement contemplates that the base offense level is eight (8) which will be enhanced by sixteen (16) levels due to an aggravated felony; this total is then reduced by three (3) levels for acceptance of responsibility and four (4) levels for "fasttrack" early disposition. The plea is expected to be entered pursuant to Rule 11 (c)(1)(C) which states in relevant part that the parties may reach a plea agreement that specifies that an attorney for the government will agree that a specific sentence is the appropriate disposition of the case or that a particular provision of the Sentencing Guidelines or policy statement or sentencing factor does or does not apply. Such a recommendation or request is binding on the court once the court accepts the plea agreement. Notwithstanding this, the plea agreement provides that Ms. Esparza-Gonzalez reserves the right to argue at sentencing that her family circumstances are such that a downward departure and/or variance from the applicable sentencing range is warranted.
 - 3. The Defendant has two children who desperately need

her. In addition, the Defendant was arrested almost two months ago when she was still caring for her infant who at the time was 1 ½ months old. The bonding process was interrupted due to the arrest and continued detention of the Defendant. Further, the Defendant has three other children, ages 2, 3 and 5. These three children are having difficulties due to the absence of their mother. The youngest one, the 2 year old, has already begun to forget his mother. His father has to constantly show him the family photograph which contains the Defendant. The Defendant's family circumstances are unusual and to properly bring them to light, I believe I must retain a forensic psychologist. While I can present facts to this Court relating to my client's family circumstances, as I have in summary herein, I am not qualified to state an opinion with respect to the long-term and short-term effects that Defendant's incarceration is having and will continue to have on her children.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 4. For this reason, I would like for a forensic psychologist to examine and evaluate my client and her family so that he may prepare a report to this Court regarding her family circumstances which are relevant to the sentencing herein.
- 5. I have spoken to Dr. Edgar Villamarin whom I have retained in other cases. Dr. Villamarin is particularly qualified because he has a Ph.D in clinical psychology and a masters in science in marriage, family and child therapy. In addition, Dr. Villamarin is particularly qualified since he is familiar with Hispanic culture. Attached please find a copy of Dr. Villamarin's resume.
 - 6. Dr. Villamarin charges \$175.00 an hour and he expects

that the evaluation and preparation of the report will involve approximately 30 hours. 7. Based on the foregoing, I am requesting an allocation of Five Thousand Two Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$5,250.00) of Criminal Justice Act funds to retain Dr. Villamarin at the rate of \$175.00 an hour. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. Executed this day at Any City, California. DATED: _____, 2006 CRIMINAL DEFENSE ATTORNEY Attorney at Law

```
1
   CRIMINAL DEFENSE ATTORNEY
   Attorney at Law
   123 E. Anywhere St.
   Any City, California
   Telephone: (123) 456-7890 Facsimile: (123) 456-7890
3
4
   Email: anyperson@yahoo.com
   Attorney for Defendant
5
   Defendant #1
6
                       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7
                      CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8
9
   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                          NO. CR 00-000-XYZ
10
                   Plaintiff,
                                          EX PARTE APPLICATION
11
                                          REQUESTING CRIMINAL JUSTICE
                                          ACT FUNDS TO RETAIN SYSTEMS
              V.
12
                                          ENGINEER; DECLARATION OF
   DEFENDANT #1, et al.,
                                          COUNSEL.
13
                   Defendants.
                                          Filed under seal and in
14
                                          camera
15
16
          Defendant, Defendant #1, by and through his counsel,
17
   Criminal Defense Attorney, hereby files this ex parte, in camera
18
   and under seal application requesting authorization to obtain
19
   Criminal Justice Act ("CJA") funds to permit him to retain a
20
   systems engineer expert in retrieving files from various
21
   computers using a specialized software.
22
          This application is made on behalf of Defendant #1 and all
23
   the other defendants in this case who have qualified for services
24
   under the Criminal Justice Act ("CJA").
25
26
27
28
```

This ex parte application is made pursuant to the Criminal Justice Act, 18 U.S.C. Section 3006A(e)(1) and is based upon the attached declaration of Criminal Defense Attorney and all files and records in this matter. Respectfully submitted, CRIMINAL DEFENSE ATTORNEY Attorney for Defendant Defendant #1 DATED: , 2007

- 2 -

<u>DECLARATION OF CRIMINAL DEFENSE ATTORNEY</u>

- I, CRIMINAL DEFENSE ATTORNEY, declare and state as follows:
- 1. I am a member of the federal indigent panel. In such capacity, I was appointed to represent Defendant #1 in this case.
- 2. Defendant #1 is charged, along with other defendants, with a violation of law, including, Title 18 U.S.C. \$2339B (a)(1): Providing Material Support or Resources to Designated Foreign Terrorist Organization. The first superseding indictment alleges that beginning on or about a certain date, and continuing until on or about a certain date, Defendant #1, and others, knowingly and willfully conspired and agreed with each other to provide material support to an organization which had been designated as a foreign terrorist organization.
- 3. In a recent discovery letter, the government advised us that it was making available to us various floppy disks that represent the disks seized during the execution of the search warrant. The government did not convert those disks into a PC-usable format. We therefore need to have this material converted from Apple to PC and thus we need to enlist the assistance of a computer specialist. By doing so, we will be able to open the disks that are provided in this recent discovery.
- 4. For this reason, I would like to retain a systems engineer to assist in this matter. I would like to retain Marc Knudson who is a Microsoft Certified Systems Engineer and has experience in using the required software. Attached is his resume.

1	5. As noted above, although Defendant #1 is requesting
2	the funds for this expert, it is expected that this expert will
3	provide assistance to all the defense attorneys in this case who
4	are primarily appointed under the Criminal Justice Act.
5	6. Mr. Knudson charges \$75.00 an hour. While it is
6	difficult to anticipate how many hours will be necessary to
7	accomplish the goals in this case, at a minimum, I believe that
8	200 hours is a reasonable prediction at this time.
9	7. Based on the foregoing, I respectfully request an
10	authorization of funds in the amount of \$15,000.00 for this
11	expert.
12	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of
13	the United States that the foregoing is true and correct to the
14	best of my knowledge. Executed this day at Any City, California.
15	
16	Dated:, 2007
17	CRIMINAL DEFENSE ATTORNEY Attorney at Law
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

- 4 -

```
CRIMINAL DEFENSE ATTORNEY
1
   Attorney at Law
   123 E. Anywhere St.
   Any City, California
   Telephone: (123) 456-7890
3
   Facsimile: (123) 456-7890
4
   Email: anyperson@yahoo.com
   Attorney for Defendant
5
   Defendant #1
6
                      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7
                     CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8
9
   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                         NO. CR 00-000-XYZ
                                     )
10
                                         EX PARTE APPLICATION
                   Plaintiff,
11
                                         REQUESTING CRIMINAL JUSTICE
              V.
                                         ACT FUNDS; DECLARATION OF
12
                                         COUNSEL.
   Defendant #1, et al.,
13
                                         Filed under seal and in
                   Defendants.
                                         camera
14
15
16
         Defendant, CLIENT, by and through his counsel, Criminal
17
   Defense Attorney, hereby files this ex parte, in camera and under
18
   seal application requesting authorization to obtain Criminal
19
   Justice Act ("CJA") funds to permit the five (5) defendants who
20
   have CJA counsel retain a digital services vendor to create an
21
   electronic database for use by the defense.
22
         This application is made on behalf of all five (5)
23
   defendants who qualified for CJA counsel. They are:
24
   Defendant #2 represented by a Criminal Defense Attorney;
25
   Defendant #3 represented by a Criminal Defense Attorney;
26
   Defendant #4 represented by a Criminal Defense Attorney;
27
   Defendant #5 represented by a Criminal Defense Attorney; and
28
   Defendant #1 represented by a Criminal Defense Attorney.
```

This ex parte application is made pursuant to the Criminal Justice Act, 18 U.S.C. Section 3006A(e)(1) and is based on the attached declaration of Criminal Defense Attorney and all files and records in this matter. Respectfully submitted, CRIMINAL DEFENSE ATTORNEY Attorney for Defendant Defendant #1 DATED: , 2007

- 2 -

<u>DECLARATION OF CRIMINAL DEFENSE ATTORNEY</u>

- I, CRIMINAL DEFENSE ATTORNEY, declare and state as follows:
- 1. I am a criminal defense attorney. In such capacity, I was appointed to represent Defendant #1 in this case.
- 2. The Defendant is charged, along with other defendants, with a violation of law, including, Title 18 U.S.C. §2339B

 (a) (1): Providing Material Support or Resources to Designated

 Foreign Terrorist Organization. The first superseding indictment alleges that beginning on or about a certain date, and continuing until on or about a certain date, Defendant #1, and others, knowingly and willfully conspired and agreed with each other to provide material support to the an organization which had been designated as a foreign terrorist organization.
- 3. Pursuant to Rule 16 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and this Court's order, the government has provided the defense with various discovery materials which consist of hard copies of documents and various discs, including digital versatile discs ("DVD") and compact discs ("CD"). To date, the government has produced over a large volume of images or pages of discovery. Further, the government continues to produce additional discovery materials.
- 4. The manner in which the discovery materials have been produced, however, has created some difficulties in reviewing the discovery. For example, the government produced hundreds of documents on each disc that were scanned and produced as a single portable document format ("pdf") files. Thus, while defense counsel are able to scroll through and review each page, defense counsel are not able to do any searches by documents by Bates

number or term. This makes it very difficult for defense counsel to isolate any particular topic and/or find any references to his/her client without scrolling through each electronic image in the pdf files.

- 5. For these reasons and so that we may more properly and efficiently review and search the discovery materials document-by-document, we need to send the discovery materials to a digital services vendor who would be able to do the following:

 (1) logically unitize the documents; (2) make the documents electronically searchable using optical character recognition (OCR); (3) Bates label the documents that are missing Bates numbers; and (4) capture the electronic Bates labels on the documents labeled by the government. Once this is done, counsel for Defendant #2 has offered to have their internal litigation support specialists put the electronic documents on a database for review by counsel for each of the defendants.
- 6. Logical unitization has been explained to me as the process in which the vendor inputs the correct breaks between the documents, which allows the reviewers to move from document to documents in the electronic database.

In this case, some of the discovery items produced by the government are one page or image. Other items are multiple pages. Because the government scanned in thousands of images and saved those images as a single pdf file, we cannot distinguish the beginning or end of the documents in that pdf file without clicking through each image. Some of the pdf files are as large as 6,000 pages or images. Contained in that single pdf file, however, are hundreds of different documents. In order to move

from one document to the next where each document has multiple pages, the documents have to be separated electronically. For example, this will allow defense counsel to review the first page of Document 1, decide if defense counsel want to review the other pages of Document 1, and, if defense counsel want to skip the rest of Document 1, move to Document 2 without clicking through each page of Document 1.

Another example of the need for logical unitization in this case is Disk 1 which was produced by the government on a certain date. On this disk is a file named a certain Box Number that contains numerous pages. Within those numerous pages, there are hundreds of documents of varying numbers of pages. At this time, given the format of the files, we cannot review the production documents-by-document and take searchable electronic notes showing the relevance of the documents in a useful manner. If the documents were logically unitized, the reviewer could move from document to document without the necessity of clicking through each page of each document.

7. Optical Character Recognition (OCR) is the electronic translation of the typewritten text into electronically searchable text. If the disks are transferred into searchable text, this will allow the reviewer to quickly locate documents using search terms.

The disks that we have received from the government are not searchable electronically. By undergoing the OCR process, defense counsel would be able to type in a term in the "Search" feature (for example, "Defendant #1") and be able to pull up only those documents with that search term. This process saves a lot of time

in pulling together the documents we may need for a particular defendant or issue.

- 8. Nearly half of the documents produced by the government either have duplicative bates numbers or have no bates labels at all. To manage and locate the documents and more efficiently use the documents, it is necessary for each page to be assigned a unique Bates number. Defense counsel recently advised the government of the problems we encountered with the bates labeling system and suggested that the government undertake the relabeling. The government rejected our request.
- 9. In addition to properly labeling the documents with Bates numbers, the specialist we retain in this case must perform a scan on the label to capture the Bates. This must be done so that we may search the discovery via Bates numbers.
- 10. As noted above, after the specialist/vendor puts the discovery on electronic documents as per the above requests, the internal litigation support specialist in the office of Defense Counsel, who represent Defendant #2 have offered to put the electronic documents on the database. Defense Counsel will not require that CJA counsel pay any maintenance fees. Rather, they will require that each counsel purchase a token in the amount of \$100.00 which will be necessary for secure access to the database hosted by Defense Counsel.
- 11. The cost for this work will be \$12,060. The cost divided by seven (7) defendants is \$1,723 plus \$100 for the secure access or \$1,823 for each of the five CJA clients.
- 12. Based on the foregoing, Defendant #2 requests CJA funds in the amount of \$9115.00 or \$1823 for each of the five (5) CJA

clients. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. Executed this day at Any City, California. Dated: CRIMINAL DEFENSE ATTORNEY Attorney at Law

1 2 3 4	CRIMINAL DEFENSE ATTORNEY Attorney at Law 123 E. Anywhere St. Any City, California Telephone: (123) 456-7890 Facsimile: (123) 456-7890 Email: anyperson@yahoo.com
5 6	Attorney for Defendant Defendant #1
7 8 9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) NO. CR 00-000(A)-XYZ
12 13	Plaintiff,) DEFENDANT'S APPLICATION FOR) ORDER SEALING DOCUMENTS; V.) DECLARATION OF CRIMINAL) DEFENSE ATTORNEY
14 15	DEFENDANT #1, et al.,) Filed under seal and in Defendants.) camera)
16 17	Defendant, Defendant #1, by and through his counsel,
18	Criminal Defense Attorney, applies ex parte for an order that EX
19	PARTE APPLICATION REQUESTING CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACT FUNDS TO RETAIN
20	SYSTEMS ENGINEER; DECLARATION OF COUNSEL.
21	as well as this ex parte application, be filed under seal.
22	This ex parte application is based on the attached
23	declaration of Criminal Defense Attorney.
24	Respectfully submitted,
25	
2627	CRIMINAL DEFENSE ATTORNEY Attorney for Defendant DEFENDANT #1
28	DATED:, 2007

DECLARATION OF CRIMINAL DEFENSE ATTORNEY

- I, CRIMINAL DEFENSE ATTORNEY declare and state as follows:
- 1. I am an attorney admitted to practice in the Central District of California and appointed to represent Defendant #1 in the above entitled action.
- 2. I request leave to file the EX PARTE APPLICATION REQUESTING CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACT FUNDS TO RETAIN SYSTEMS ENGINEER; DECLARATION OF COUNSEL under seal. The basis for this request is that any ex parte application for Criminal Justice Act requests for funds must be filed under seal pursuant to the E-Government Act of 2002.
- 3. Should the court deny this application, I request that the pleading and this application not be filed, but be returned to me, without filing of the documents or reflection of the name or nature of the documents on the clerk's public docket.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. Executed this day at Any City, California.

CRIMINAL DEFENSE ATTORNEY Attorney at Law

22 DATED: , 2007

1 2 3 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, NO. CR 11 Plaintiff, DEFENDANT'S EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR CRIMINAL 12 ∇ . JUSTICE ACT FUNDS TO RETAIN STATE ATTORNEY TO 13 COLLATERALLY ATTACK STATE CONVICTION; DECLARATION OF 14 Defendant. COUNSEL. 15 FILED UNDER SEAL AND IN CAMERA 16 17 Defendant, , by and through his 18 attorney of record, hereby respectfully requests an authorization of Criminal Justice Act ("CJA") funds in the 19 amount of \$2,000.00 to permit him to retain an attorney to file a 20 motion in state court to withdraw his "no contest" plea case 21 22 number 23 24 25 26 27 28

-2-

2.2

- 1. I am an attorney admitted to practice in the Central District of California and appointed to represent the defendant in the above entitled action. I was appointed by this Court because is indigent and unable to afford the services of retained counsel in this matter.
- 2. I have reviewed the discovery materials in this case, done substantial research and engaged in some independent investigation. Based on this and my conversations with my client, I have concluded that if pleads guilty and/or is found guilty in this case, the advisory sentencing guidelines will include a 16 level enhancement due to a crime of violence, kidnaping, to which pleaded "no contest".
- in two respects. One is that he will receive three (3) criminal history points; and two, he will qualify for a sixteen (16) level enhancement under the guideline computation.
- 4. was the only defendant in that state case who pleaded "no contest". The other ten (10) defendants proceeded to a trial at which the alleged victim testified and yet the jury found all ten (10) defendants not guilty of the charges.
- 5. advised that he was not guilty of the charges but pleaded "no contest" due to the urging of his attorney who advised him that if he did not enter such plea, he

has grounds to withdraw his plea to the kidnaping charge. He was not properly advised of the immigration consequences of his "no contest" plea.

- 7. Case law clearly holds that a defendant cannot collaterally attack a conviction in state court, <u>Custis v. United States</u>, 511 U.S. 485 (1994) (holding that collateral attacks at sentencing are permissible only where the prior conviction was obtained in violation of the right to counsel); <u>United States v. Martinez-Martinez</u>, 295 F.3d 1041, 1044-45 (9th Cir. 2002) (applying <u>Custis</u> to sentencing guidelines). However, there is no prohibition to filing a motion in state court to withdraw a plea.
- 8. In this case, I believe that it is in best interest to attempt to withdraw his plea to the kidnaping conviction. As noted above, I believe he has adequate grounds for such withdrawal. At a trial in state court, if the case were to proceed to such, it is likely based on the previous jury acquittals, that the jury would likewise find not guilty of the charges.
- 9. While the Criminal Justice Act does not prohibit me from filing such motion in state court, since this is a matter which is affecting in the federal case, I do not believe that I am sufficiently familiar with state court practice to proceed with such motion. In addition, I believe that if I attempted to do so, I would risk possible ineffective assistance of counsel. Given the time passage of 15 years, this motion must be handled by someone with experience in such matters.

in but he is unwilling to file such motion since his appointment ended in .

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 11. I contacted another attorney whom I know specializes in motions to withdraw and other post-conviction remedies in state advised me that he court, typically charges \$2,500 for this work but since is indigent, he is willing to accept this case as an appointment from this Court and/or as an expert and that his fee would be \$2,000. advised me that this fee would cover his investigation, including obtaining relevant documents, meeting with as needed, filing the motion and arguing it, if necessary. This fee would not cover any possible advised me that if he is successful in trial. withdrawing the plea, a public defender could be appointed to represent for a possible trial, if necessary.
- 12. If _______ is successful in withdrawing his plea to the kidnaping case and he is successful in having that case dismissed and/or the conviction vacated due to an acquittal, then the sentencing guidelines in this case would be substantially less than those anticipated by the plea agreement offered to ______ and/or the guidelines which would apply at the present time. The guidelines would be in the range of 8-14 months of custody.
- will enter a guilty plea in this, the federal, case.

 Notwithstanding such entry of guilty plea, either pursuant to a plea agreement or as open plea, I believe that the relief

requested herein is still needed. The request herein relates to the sentencing of 2 , not to the merits of a 3 possible defense. 14. On 4 , I inquired of Randall Schnack, CJA Supervising Attorney, whether he would honor an order from this 5 paid for services in connection with Court to have this matter. Mr. Schnack advised me that he would honor such court order since this would be similar to a request for funds to 8 9 retain an expert. 10 15. Based on the foregoing, I respectfully request that 11 this Court grant this application. 12 13 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing 14 is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. Executed this 15 . California. date at 16 DATED: 2007 17 18 Attorney at law 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27